

## Via Email electronic receipt return requested

30 June 2015

Mr. Jeffrey H. Michel Sommerhuder Strabe 23 22769 Hamburg, Germany **Ref:** Your letter dated 12 June 2015

Dear Jeffrey:

We are in receipt of your letter as referenced above and as received by electronic email.

This is our answer to your letter and your requests.

We will not send a letter to all shareholders as you suggested.

None of the USCL shareholders will advance any money what so ever to retire your debt. In fact the shareholders have been unwilling to advance any funds to the company to cover essential operating expenses like telephone, internet, insurance, electricity, and the like. Even our requests for funds to cover the maintenance fees for two international patents were not funded.

The shareholders are well aware of our situation with you. It was discussed in part three of the 2010 USCL shareholder meeting when a shareholder brought up your name and what he termed as "incendiary emails" sent to the shareholders by you:

Part 1: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=SfitaAllfq4

Part 2: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=9Lcgxu85z00</u>

Part 3: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3y3Kd8-Bte0

The situation was discussed in detail in the year ending 2012 Shareholder video with Kristen Simoes as well as the events leading to the default on our agreement with you. That too, is a three part series at:

Part 1: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1Z5rQl4Xpg4</u>

Part 2: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QdkrrEkDBbl</u> Part 3: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TCU4X87jbV4

Your claim that I am personally obligated to you is incorrect. There was no personal guarantee of the meter enclosure agreement. The lawsuit was filed solely against the corporation and the judgment is against the corporation. Your suggestion that you have any right or entitlement to personal assets is without legal merit. The UCC-1 financing statement is not the controlling document but rather a notice for the public record. The controlling document is the Agreement and the recorded judgment against USCL.

Your comments about Robert S. Block are irrelevant. The point is that Mr. Block had a prior security interest in all USCL assets including, but not limited to the patents. Therefore no assets could be turned over to you unless you pay Mr. Block the amount of monies owed to him and he executes a release.

In my last letter to you I advised you of the fact that Mr. Block's attorney is maintaining custodial care of the patent certificates, and I provided you with his address in Reno, Nevada. For your convenience it is as follows:

Mr. Mark Smallhouse, ESQ. 401 Ryland Street Suite 301 Reno, Nevada 89502

Mr. Block's Reno address is as follows:

Robert S. Block 2695 Spear Point Drive Reno, Nevada 89509

Given the misunderstanding set forth in your 12 June letter regarding Mr. Block's prior security interest and his preferential position today, I have copied him on this letter via electronic email.

Jeffrey, as I have patently explained to you many times in the past, USCL is currently attempting to obtain funding. I laid this out in a recent series of emails broadcast to the shareholders and you were copied. The company has no funds today. And no investors will invest monies to simply pay you or any other USCL obligation off. It is for that reason I am pursuing a mix of unconventional funding strategies as set forth on the website you mentioned of <a href="http://www.powerrfuture.com">http://www.powerrfuture.com</a>

Currently we have proposals outstanding to several very large cash rich companies and high net worth individuals. You must be patient and allow this process to move forward. There is simply no other way for you to be paid back and/or your shares to be redeemed. No shareholder is interested in purchasing your shares. In fact it is a safe assumption that all shareholders would like for someone to purchase their shares. As stated before, you can spend money on lawyers but the fact of the matter is those efforts will not create cash out of nowhere for you or the company.

Again, the only hope of you being repaid are my best and good faith efforts pursuing the plan I have been working on for the last three years. As previously stated USCL has not brought in new investors since 2007-8.

Your judgment will be honored and/or negotiated at such time the company has a viable source of funds. As the judgment is a matter of public record, it must be disclosed to funders so your interest is protected.

Thank you for your understanding and patience in this matter.

Sincerely, USCL Corporation,

Tomer (Tom) Tamarkin President

&

Tom D. Tamarkin, an individual

CC: Robert S. Block via email